

### UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Viginia 22313-1450

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/053,313		01/18/2002	Alex Battaglia	ALBA.001.01US	3640
31272	7590	07/15/2003			
		W GROUP, P.C.	EXAMINER CRIARES, THEODORE J		
P.O. BOX 13 MONTERE		3942-1898			
				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
				1617	, <u></u>
				DATE MAILED: 07/15/2003	15

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

,		Application I	lo.	Applicant(s)					
		10/053,313		BATTAGLIA, ALEX					
	Office Action Summary	Examiner		Art Unit					
		Theodore J.	Criares	1617					
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply								
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).  - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status									
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 15 /	<u> April 2003</u> .							
2a) <u></u> □	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ Th	is action is no	n-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims									
4)⊠	Claim(s) 1-14,26 and 27 is/are pending in the	application.							
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.								
5)⊠	Claim(s) <u>14 and 27</u> is/are allowed.								
6)⊠	☑ Claim(s) <u>1-13 and 26</u> is/are rejected.								
7)	7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.								
8)□	8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.								
Application Papers									
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.									
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.									
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).									
11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.									
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.									
12)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.									
Priority u	Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120								
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).									
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:									
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.								
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No								
Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.									
14)∐ A	14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).								
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.									
Attachment	•	· •							
1) Notice	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) <u>6</u>	5)	Notice of Informal I	/ (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)					
J.S. Patent and Tr PTO-326 (Re		tion Summary		Part of Paper No. 15					

Application/Control Number: 10/053,313

Art Unit: 1617

# CLAIMS 1-14, 26 and 27 ARE PRESENTED FOR

# **EXAMINATION**

Applicant's election without traverse of Group I, claims 1-14, 26 and 27 in Paper No. 13 is acknowledged.

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

#### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Claims 1-13 and 26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Mantelle (5,446,070).

Application/Control Number: 10/053,313

Art Unit: 1617

The elected invention and claims thereto relate to a composition. claim 1 is generic in its language and the broadest meaning has been given to such language

Mantelle obviates claims 1, 5, 6, 9-12 and 26 under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) since it teaches a gum resin guar gum at column 12 line 64, a topically acceptable pharmacologically active agents at column 3, line 56 to column 5, line 62. The solvent of claim 1 can be the glycols of column 6, line 63 to coumn7, line 38. The pharmaceutical agent is lidocaine as taught at column 6, lines 40-51. The time of 6 hours without toxic effect is taught for dibucaine at Table I in column 11. The pharmaceutical agents that can be used in applicants' claimed composition can be varied as seen from column 23, line 37 to column 41, line 39. These teaching obviate claims 5, 6, 9-12 and 26 since they teach a combination of active agents is possible within the composition as claimed in claim 1 (see claims 5 and 6 which claim aan antimicrobial agent taught at column 26 et seq.). The steroidal compound of claims 9-11 is taught at column 38, line 24. the amounts set forth in column 11 are those known to the art for steroidal active compounds. The combination of claim 12 is taught at Example 33 at column 22,

Claims 2-4, 7 and 13 are rejected since they read on rejected claim 1.

Claim 26 is rejected since it reads on canceled claim 25.

The test of obviousness is "whether the teachins of the prior art, taken as a whole, would have made obvious the claimed invention." In re Gorman, 933 F.2d 982, 18 USPQ 2d 1885, (Fed. Cir. 1991). In view of the above rejection it is deemed that

Application/Control Number: 10/053,313 Page 4

Art Unit: 1617

the evidence presented has established a prima facie case of obviousness. is presented.

Claims 14 and 27 are allowed.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Theodore J. Criares whose telephone number is 308-4607. The examiner can normally be reached on 6:30 A.M. to 5:00P.M. Monday through Thursday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Theodore J. Criares can be reached on 305-1877. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-746-6897 for regular communications and N/A for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 308-1235.

Theodore J. Criares Frimary Examiner Art Unit 1617

tjc July 14, 2003